

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	TION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/705,717 11/10/2003		11/10/2003	Tracy W. Nelson	1219.BYU.CN2	5014	
26986	7590	01/14/2005		EXAMINER		
MORRISS		NT COMPAGNI,	STONER, KILEY SHAWN			
SUITE 700	MAIN	IKEEI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SALT LAKE	ECITY, U	JT 84101	1725			

DATE MAILED: 01/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/705,71	7	NELSON ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Kiley Ston	er	1725					
Peri d fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the	cover she t with th	orresp ndence ad	Idress				
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to the provided period for reply will, by the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by the provided by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no eve on. , a reply within the statu period will apply and will statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>10-12-04</u> .							
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
′—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	hdrawn from cor							
Applicati	ion Papers								
10)	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the country The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b)[to the drawing(s) become cition is require	e held in abeyance. Seed of the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B	ments have beer ments have beer priority docume ureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Application nts have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachmen	at(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PT()_413\					
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S er No(s)/Mail Date 10-12-04.		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/705,717

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1 and 66 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,779,704 B2. This is a double patenting rejection.

Claims 1 and 23 provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1 and 66 of copending Application No. 10/705,668. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Application/Control Number: 10/705,717

Art Unit: 1725

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-52 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-50 are of U.S. Patent No. 6,648,206 B2. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the instant application are broader than those of 6,648,206 B2, so it is obvious that the claims of the instant application are envisioned by 6,648,206 B2.

Conclusion

The prior art of record that is cited as of interest is presented on the form-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kiley Stoner whose telephone number is (571) 272-1183. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (7:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on Monday-Friday at (571) 272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,717 Page 4

Art Unit: 1725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KILEY 8. STONER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

1/11/05